

Antibody drug conjugates: Progress, pitfalls, and promises

Amishbhai Mukherjee^{1,2}, Ariana K. Waters^{1,3}, Ivan Bahic³, Elmar Nurmawanto³, Mark C. Ginsy^{1,2},
Santosh Kumar¹ and Venkata Multhier Venugopala^{1,2,*}

¹Drug Discovery and Neuroendocrine Research Program, JCI 90004, USA

²Department of Translational Neuroscience and Neuroendocrinology, John Wayne Cancer Institute, Pacific Neuroscience Institute, Providence Saint John's Health Center, Santa Monica, CA 90404, USA

³University of California San Diego, Moores Cancer Center La Jolla, CA, USA

⁴Novartis Biotech, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA

Abstract. Antibody drug conjugates (ADCs) represent a promising and an efficient strategy for targeted cancer therapy. Compared to a monoclonal antibody, a cytotoxic drug, and a linker, ADCs offer cancer selectivity, reduced toxicity, and improved stability in systemic circulation. Recent approach of two ADCs have led to a resurgence in ADC research, with more than 60 ADCs under various stages of clinical development. The therapeutic success of future ADCs is dependent on adherence to key components of their design and careful selection of the target antigen on cancer cells. Here we review the main components in the design of antibody drug conjugates, improvements made, and lessons learned over two decades of research, as well as the status of third generation ADCs.

Keywords: Monoclonal antibodies, target antigens, linker, cytotoxic drugs, site-specific drug conjugation, antibody-drug conjugates

1. Introduction

For several decades, chemotherapy has remained the preeminent modality for the treatment of cancer [1,2]. The earliest category of chemotherapeutic tested in humans were chlorambucil and cyclophosphamide, which were reported to induce cellular cytotoxicity by DNA alkylation. The recognition that folic acid stimulates cancer cell growth led to the synthesis of antifolates, such as methotrexate, as antineoplastic agents. This was followed by nucleoside analogues, such as 5-fluorouracil, and cytotoxic antimitotics (tax-*ans*), which eventually interfere with DNA synthesis. DNA intercalating agents such as epirubicin, actinomycin D, anthracyclins (e.g., Doxorubicin) and tubu-

lin targeting molecules (Taxanes, Vinca alkaloids) subsequently came into play. The triumph of eliminating cancer cells was unfortunately marred by a lack of tumor selectivity, in which chemotherapy ended up killing normal cells, eventually leading to unforeseen systemic toxicities. However, it was soon observed that therapeutic with non-overlapping toxicity profiles and different mechanisms of action could be combined at different dose regimens to yield synergistic antitumor activity. Combinational chemotherapy thus emerged as a modality for the treatment of most cancers [3]. Clinicians worked with a plethora of individual drugs and their combinations to define the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), maximum effective dose (MED) and later, maximum dose (MDT) in order to reach an improved therapeutic index.

In more recent decades, an increased understanding of cancer biology has spawned a new era of targeted cancer treatment by exploiting certain properties of tumor cells in contrast to normal cells [4]. These select features, popularly coined the 'hallmarks' of

*Corresponding author: Venkata Multhier Venugopala, Drug Discovery and Neuroendocrine Research Program, John Wayne Cancer Institute, Pacific Neuroscience Institute, Providence Saint John's Health Center, Santa Monica, CA 90404, USA. Tel.: +1 310 342 1440; E-mail: vvv@jci.org